Unified Parallel C

Yili Zheng Research Scientist Computational Research Department Lawrence Berkeley National Lab



Outline

- Overview of UPC
- How does a UPC implementation work
- Examples
- Optimization tips and good practices
- Summary of tools and references

Partitioned Global Address Space In UPC

Shared	Shared	Shared	Shared
Segment	Segment	Segment	Segment
Private	Private	Private	Private
Segment	Segment	Segment	Segment
Thread 1	Thread 2	Thread 3	Thread 4

- Global data view abstraction for productivity
- Vertical partitions among threads for locality control
- Horizontal partitions between shared and private segments for data placement optimizations
- Friendly to non-cache-coherent architectures

One-Sided vs. Two-Sided Messaging



- Two-sided messaging
 - Message does not contain information about the final destination; need to look it up on the target node
 - Point-to-point synchronization implied with all transfers
- One-sided messaging
 - Message contains information about the final destination
 - Decouple synchronization from data movement

Overview of Unified Parallel C

- C99 extension (PGAS C)
 - Partitioned Global Address Space for data sharing
 - One-sided communication (Put/Get, Read/Write)
 - Loop-level parallelism (upc_forall)
- SPMD execution model
 - Total number of threads in the execution: THREADS
 - My thread id (0,...,THREADS-1): MYTHREAD
- Widely available
 - Open source: Berkeley UPC, GCC UPC
 - Commercial: Cray, IBM, HP, SGI
 - Platforms: Shared-memory, Ethernet, Infiniband, Cray, IBM, ...

Why Use UPC?

- Pros
 - A global address space for shared-memory programming
 - One-sided communication is a good match for hardware RDMA
 - Can safely reuse non-pthread-safe legacy sequential libraries
- Cons
 - Memory consistency model is complicated
 - Good news: most users don't need to worry for common use patterns
 - Performance tuning is as hard as other programming models

Example: Hello World

```
#include <upc.h> /* needed for UPC extensions */
#include <stdio.h>
```

> upcc helloworld.upc
> upcrun –n 4 ./a.out

Thread 1 of 4: hello UPC world Thread 0 of 4: hello UPC world Thread 3 of 4: hello UPC world Thread 2 of 4: hello UPC world

How to use UPC on Cray XE / XK

- module swap PrgEnv-pgi PrgEnv-cray
- cc -h upc helloworld.upc
- aprun -n 8 ./a.out

UPC is simple

- 5 necessary keywords:
 - shared
 - upc_fence // non-collective
 - upc_barrier // imply a fence
 - THREADS
 - MYTHREAD
- Communication is implicit
 - shared int s;
 - s = 5; // write (put)
 - a = s; // read (get)

Sharing Data

- Static shared data defined in file scope
 - shared int j; /* shared scalar variable resides on thread 0 */
 - shared int a[10]; /* shared array distributed in round-robin */
- Shared arrays are distributed in a 1-D block-cyclic fashion over all threads
 - shared [blocking_factor] int array[size];
 - Example: shared [2] int b[12]; on 4 UPC threads
 - logical data layout

physical data layout

Data Layouts in a Nutshell

- Static non-array objects have affinity with thread 0
- Array layouts are controlled by the blocking factor:
 - Empty or [1] (cyclic layout) shared int == shared [1] int
 - [*] (blocked layout) shared [*] int a[sz] == shared [sz/THREADS] int a[sz]
 - [0] or [] (indefinite layout, all on 1 thread) shared [] int == shared [0] int
 - [b] (fixed block size, aka block-cyclic)
- The affinity of an array element A[i] is determined by:
 (i / block_size) % THREADS
- M-D arrays linearize elements in row-major format

UPC Pointers



int *p1; /* private pointer to local memory */
shared int *p2; /* private pointer to shared space */
int *shared p3; /* shared pointer to local memory */
shared int *shared p4; /* shared pointer to shared space */

Multi-Dimensional Arrays

Static 2-D array: shared [*] double A[M][N];

Dynamic 2-D array: shared [] double **A;



Loop level parallelism

- upc_forall(init; test; loop; affinity) statement;
- upc forall is a collective operation in which, for each execution of the loop body, the controlling expression and affinity expression are single-valued.
- Programmer asserts that the iterations are independent
- Affinity expression indicates which iterations will run on each thread. It may have one of two types:
 - Integer: (affinity%THREADS) == MYTHREAD

```
• Pointer: upc_threadof(affinity) == MYTHREAD
upc_forall(i=0; i<N; i++; i)
stmt;
equivalent to
for(i=0; i<N; i++)
if (MYTHREAD == i % THREADS) stmt;</pre>
```

Synchronization - Locks

- Locks in UPC are represented by an opaque type: upc_lock_t
- Locks must be allocated before use:

upc_lock_t *upc_all_lock_alloc(void); collective call - allocates 1 lock, same pointer to all threads upc_lock_t *upc_global_lock_alloc(void); non-collective - allocates 1 lock per caller

• To use a lock:

void upc_lock(upc_lock_t *1)
void upc_unlock(upc_lock_t *1)
use at start and end of critical region

Locks can be freed when not in use
 void upc_lock_free(upc_lock_t *ptr);

UPC Global Synchronization

- UPC has two basic forms of barriers:
 - Barrier: block until all other threads arrive

```
upc_barrier
```

Split-phase barriers

. . .

```
upc_notify; this thread is ready for barrier
do computation unrelated to barrier
upc_wait; wait for others to be ready
```

Optional labels allow for debugging

```
#define MERGE_BARRIER 12
```

```
if (MYTHREAD%2 == 0) {
```

```
upc_barrier MERGE_BARRIER;
} else {
```

```
upc_barrier MERGE_BARRIER;
}
```

Bulk Data Movement and Nonblocking Communication

- Loops to perform element-wise data movement could potentially be slow because of network traffic per element
- Language introduces variants of memcpy to address this issue:

upc_memcpy (shared void * restrict dst,

shared const void * restrict src, size_t n)

upc_memput (shared void * restrict dst,

const void * restrict src, size_t n)

upc_memget (void * restrict dst,

shared const void * restrict src, size_t n)

Data Movement Collectives

- upc_all_broadcast(shared void* dst, shared void* src, size_t nbytes, ...)
- upc_all_scatter(shared void* dst, shared void *src, size_t nbytes, ...)
- upc_all_gather(shared void* dst, shared void *src, size_t nbytes, ...)
- upc_all_gather_all(shared void* dst, shared void *src, size_t nbytes, ...)
- upc_all_exchange(shared void* dst, shared void *src, size_t nbytes, ...)
- upc_all_permute(shared void* dst, shared void *src, shared int* perm, size_t nbytes, ...)
 - Each threads copies a block of memory and sends it to thread in perm[i]

Computational Collectives

upc_all_reduceT(shared void* dst, shared void* src, upc_op_t op, ...)

data type T: char, short, int, float, double, long long double,...

upc_op_t: +, *, &, |, xor, &&, ||, min, max

upc_all_reduceT computes:

 $\sum_{i=0}^{7} A[i] \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 4 \quad 5 \quad 6 \quad 7 \qquad \text{Not}$



upc_all_prefix_reduceT(shared void* dst, shared void *src, upc_op_t op, ...) shared [ngrid*ngrid/THREADS] double u[ngrid][ngrid]; shared [ngrid*ngrid/THREADS] double unew[ngrid][ngrid]; shared [ngrid*ngrid/THREADS] double f[ngrid][ngrid];

- Good spatial locality
- Mostly local memory accesses
- No explicit communication ghostzone management



Example: Random Access (GUPS)

shared uint64 Table[TableSize]; /* cyclic distribution */
uint64 i, ran;

/* owner computes, iteration matches data distribution */
upc_forall (i = 0; i < TableSize; i++; i) Table[i] = i;</pre>

```
upc_barrier; /* synchronization */
```

```
ran = starts(NUPDATE / THREADS * MYTHREAD); /* ran. seed */
```

```
for (i = MYTHREAD; i < NUPDATE; i+=THREADS) /* SPMD */
{
    ran = (ran << 1) ^ (((int64_1) ran < 0) ? POLY : 0);
    Table[ran & (TableSize-1)] = Table[ran & (TableSize-1)] ^ ran;
}
upc_barrier; /* synchronization */
The MPI version is about 150
lines due to message</pre>
```

aggregation.

UPC Compiler Implementation



- Pros: portable
- Cons: may lose program information in two-phase compilation
- Example: Berkeley UPC



- Pros: easier to implement UPC specific optimization
- Cons: less portable
- Example: GCC UPC and most vendor UPC

Programming models on BlueGene/P



Berkeley UPC Software Stack



Translation and Call Graph Example



Casting Shared-Pointer to Local

Kernel code of the STREAM benchmark using shared-pointers

```
shared [] double *sa, *sb, *sc;
for (i=0; i<nelems; i++) {
    sa[i] = sb[i] + alpha * sc[i];
}
```

Kernel code of the STREAM benchmark using local pointers

```
shared [] double *sa, *sb, *sc;
double *a, *b, *c;
a=(double *)sa; b=(double *)sb; c=(double *)sc;
for (i=0; i<nelems; i++) {
    a[i] = b[i] + alpha * c[i];
}
```

Shared Data Access Time on 32-core AMD

Shared Data Access Performance: Local Pointer vs. Pointer-to-shared



Shared Data Access Time on 8-core Intel



Use Physical Shared-Memory for Inter-Process Communication

- Cast a pointer-to-shared affined to another thread but can be accessed directly by hardware load and store
 - void * upc_cast(shared void *ptr);
 - Castability query:
 - int upc_castable(shared void *ptr);
 - int upc_thread_castable(unsigned int threadnum);
- Implemented by cross-mapping physical memory to virtual address spaces of all processes sharing the node
- Save memory space and copy overheads that would be otherwise introduced by bounce-buffers

Memory Consistency Models

- UPC supports two memory consistency models: strict and relaxed
- Strict consistency
 - Usage: #pragma upc strict or strict shared [] double *sa;
 - Provide a total ordering for all memory accesses
 - Easy to reasoning about but takes a huge performance penalty
- Relaxed consistency
 - Usage: #pragma upc relaxed or relaxed shared [] double *sa;
 - Allow concurrent and out-of-order data accesses within a synchronization phase
 - Deliver better performance but may introduce data races if synchronization is done correctly
- In practice
 - Use the relaxed consistency model (default) until encountering errors
 - Use the strict consistency model for testing and debugging

Memory Consistency Performance: Relaxed vs. Strict

Shared Data Access Time on 32-Core AMD

Shared Data Access Time on 8-Core Intel



Example: Matrix Transpose

```
shared double *sa, *sb;
size_t N;
```

```
upc_forall(i=0; i<N; i++; i)
{
    for (j=0; j<N; j++)
    {
        ij = i*N+j;
        ji = j*N+i;
        sb[ij] = sa[ji];
    }
}</pre>
```

- Global array view may tempt you to use a naïve implementation
- Correct but very poor performance
 - All fine-grained accesses
 - No data locality
 - Difficult to vectorize

Example: Optimized Matrix Transpose



- Use a block data layout
- Transpose data blocks by a collective operation
- Transpose the elements in the block locally

```
B = N/THREADS;
nbytes = sizeof(double)*B*B;
upc_all_exchange(sb, sa, nbytes, UPC_IN_MYSYNC|UPC_OUT_MYSYNC);
```

```
/* local transpose */
for (t=0; t<THREADS; t++) {
    la = (double *)&sa[MYTHREAD] + B*B*t;
    lb = (double *)&sb[MYTHREAD] + B*B*t;
    local_transpose(la, lb, B);
}</pre>
```

Matrix Transpose Performance

Transpose on 32-Core AMD

Transpose on 8-Core Intel



Example : Matrix Multiplication

```
shared double A[M][P], B[P][N], C[M][N];
```

```
for (int i=0; i<M; i++;)
    upc_forall (int j=0; j<N; j++; &C[i][j])
    for (int k=0; k<P; k++)
        C[i][j] += A[i][k]*B[k][j];</pre>
```

- Naïve implementation is very slow
 - Many fine-grained remote accesses
 - Recurring overheads in access through pointers-to-shared
 - Do not have optimization for the sequential part, such as register blocking, cache blocking and vectorization
- But it is really simply to write if you don't care about performance (such as in prototyping or non-critical path)

Optimized UPC Parallel DGEMM



- 2-D block-cyclic data layout
- Use parallel algorithms such as SUMMA
- Transfer data in large blocks
- Use optimized BLAS dgemm (e.g., Intel MKL)
- Use non-blocking collective communication if available (e.g., row and column broadcasts)

Matrix Multiplication Performance

32-Core AMD (Opteron 8387)



8-Core Intel (Xeon E5530)



Example: 3-D FFT



- 2-D Data Partitioning
- Row-column algorithm with overlapping local FFT and transpose (all-to-all communication)
- UPC non-blocking operations enabled fine-grained overlapping for better performance

FFT Performance on Multi-Core

Performance of 3D-FFT (512x256x256) on 32-core AMD (Mflops)

Threads	4	8	16	32
FFTW	4561.3	7338.7	8756.4	8365.5
UPC with FFTW	2306.61	4242.28	7210.87	9849.7

FFT Performance on BlueGene/P



Pitfalls in Programming with UPC

- Abuse fine-grained inter-node data accesses generate tons of tiny data packets
- Flood data from many to one congest the network
- Share everything and access data uniformly forget about data localities and NUMA issues
- Use excessive locking/unlocking lock operations are expensive, especially on distributed-memory systems
- Hand code common math functions (instead of using optimized libraries such as BLAS, FFTW, INTEL MKL, IBM ESSL,...)

Performance Penalty!

UPC Programming Tips

- Use local pointer to access the local part of shared data by casting pointer-to-shared to local pointer
- Leverage data affinity information and manage shared data layout to minimize remote accesses (both inter-node and NUMA)
- Use non-blocking communication if available
- Use collectives
- Use remote atomic operations if available

UPC one for two?

- Hybrid Programming Styles with UPC
 - fine-grained (shared memory style)
 - bulk synchronous (message passing style)
- Hybrid Execution with UPC
 - Map UPC threads hierarchically to groups of Pthreads
 - Threads within a process share resources and the same virtual address space
 - Processes within a node use physically shared memory for fast communication
 - Inter-node communication uses the network
 - Balance resource sharing and isolation
 - Too much sharing: resource contention (lower performance), prone to race conditions
 - No sharing: resource idling (lower throughput)

Interoperability: Mix it up

- UPC with other sequential languages: C++, FORTRAN
- MPI with UPC
 - Each MPI process is also a UPC thread
 - Each MPI process spawns a few UPC threads. MPI for interprocess communication and UPC for intra-process communication
- UPC with OpenMP
 - Map each UPC thread to an OS process and spawn OpenMP threads
- UPC with CUDA and OpenCL
 - Similar to MPI + CUDA/OpenCL

UPC 1.3

- Coming this Fall
- Main features
 - Non-blocking memory copy operations
 - Implicit non-blocking memory operations fire and forget
 - upc_memcpy_nbi(...);
 - upc_fence;
 - UPC atomics
 - CAS
 - Op
 - Fetch and Op
 - High precision timers
 - Collective memory deallocation (upc_all_free)
- Many bug fixes and clarifications
- http://code.google.com/p/upc-specification/

Tools

Eclipse Parallel Tools Platform (PTP)

- <u>http://www.eclipse.org/ptp/</u>
- Parallel Performance Wizard (PPW)
 - http://ppw.hcs.ufl.edu/
- GDB UPC
 - http://www.gccupc.org/gdb-upc-info/debugging-with-gdb-upc
- Totalview
- Distributed Debugging Tool (DDT) from Allinea Software
- All other parallel computing tools for multi-process and multi-thread programs
 - Executing a UPC program is just like running a normal multiprocess/multi-thread program from the OS's perspective.

Resources and Contacts

- Web sites::
 - UPC community portal: <u>http://upc.gwu.edu</u>
 - IBM XL UPC: <u>http://www.alphaworks.ibm.com/tech/upccompiler</u>
 - GCC UPC: <u>http://www.gccupc.org</u>
 - Berkeley UPC: <u>http://upc.lbl.gov</u>
- Email lists:
 - UPC Mailing Lists: <u>http://upc.gwu.edu/upc_mail_group.html</u>
 - public Berkeley UPC users list: <u>upc-users@lbl.gov</u>
 - Berkeley UPC/GASNet developers: <u>upc-devel@lbl.gov</u>

THANK YOU!